

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,589	03/23/2004		David L. Marvit	073338.0194 (04-50468 FLA	3415
5073	7590	10/30/2006		EXAM	INER
BAKER BOTTS L.L.P. 2001 ROSS AVENUE				LIANG, I	REGINA
SUITE 600				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DALLAS, 7	X 75201	-2980	2629		

DATE MAILED: 10/30/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
·	10/807,589	MARVIT ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Regina Liang	2629			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
Period for Reply	/10.000 TO THE TOTAL THE TOTAL	0) 00 THUT'S (00) DAY(0			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 M	arch 2004.				
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☒ This	☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.				
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar	•				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.	4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.	· · ——				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.					
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r alaction requirement				
oj Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 23 March 2004 is/are: a	•	•			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	= ' ' ' '				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	ACTION OF TORM PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).			
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
·	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage				
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	su.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/23/04,11/21/05.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2629

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. Claims 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims 15-20 although written to include a computer readable medium, however for a logic, i.e., computer program, to be statutory subject is must be claimed as a computer program stored on a computer readable medium as set forth in page 52 of the Interim Guidelines, thus without such the claims are non-statutory in nature.

### **Double Patenting**

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/807,589 Page 3

Art Unit: 2629

4. Claims 1-21 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-21 of copending Application No. 10/807,560. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both are claiming a similar subject matter.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

The following is an example for comparing claim 1 of this application and claim 1 of copending application 10/807,560.

claim 1 of this application	claim 1 of copending application 10/807,560
a motion controlled handheld device	a motion controlled handheld device
comprising:	comprising:
a display having a viewable surface and	a display having a viewable surface and
operable to generate an image;	operable to generate an image;
a gesture database maintaining a plurality of	a gesture database maintaining a plurality of
gestures, each gesture defined by a motion of	predefined gestures, each gesture defined by a
the device with respect to a first position of	motion of the device with respect to a first
the device;	position of the device;
a plurality of applications each having a	an application having a plurality of
plurality of predefined commands;	predefined commands;

Art Unit: 2629

a motion detection module operable to detect a motion detection module operable to detect motion of the handheld device within three motion of the handheld device within three dimensions and to identify components of the dimensions and to identify components of the motion in relation to the viewable surface; motion in relation to the viewable surface; a user interface operable to receive user input associating selected ones of the gestures with corresponding ones of the commands; a gesture mapping database comprising a a gesture mapping database comprising a plurality of command maps, each of the command map for the application, the command maps corresponding to a particular command map comprising mappings of the one of the applications and mapping each of selected gestures to the corresponding the predefined commands to one of the commands as indicated by the user input; gestures;

a control module operable to load one of the applications, to select one of the command maps corresponding to the loaded application, to track movement of the handheld device using the motion detection module, to compare the tracked movement against the gestures to determine a matching gesture, to

a control module operable to load the application, to track movement of the handheld device using the motion detection module, to compare the tracked movement against the gestures to determine a matching one of the gestures, to identify, using the command map, the command mapped to the

Art Unit: 2629

identify, using the selected command map,
the predefined command mapped to the
matching gesture, and to perform the
identified command using the application.

matching gesture, and to perform the
identified command using the loaded
application.

matching gesture, and to perform the
identified command using the loaded
application.

As can be seen above, claim 1 of the copending application does not have a plurality of applications, however, it would have been obvious to realize claim 1 of the copending application having a plurality of applications since this provides more than one application to be used in the device and this permits different applications to assign different actions or meanings to the commands.

5. Claims 1-21 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-21 of copending Application No. 10/807,572. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both are claiming a similar subject matter.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

The following is an example for comparing claim 1 of this application and claim 1 of copending application 10/807,572.

claim 1 of this application	claim 1 of copending application 10/807,572

Art Unit: 2629

a motion controlled handheld device	a motion controlled handheld device
comprising:	comprising:
a display having a viewable surface and	a display having a viewable surface and
operable to generate an image;	operable to generate an image;
a gesture database maintaining a plurality of	a gesture database maintaining a plurality of
gestures, each gesture defined by a motion of	gestures, each gesture defined by a motion of
the device with respect to a first position of	the device with respect to a first position of
the device;	the device, the gestures comprising symbol
	gestures each corresponding to a character
	from a preexisting character set;
a plurality of applications each having a	an application database maintaining at least
a plurality of applications each having a plurality of predefined commands;	an application database maintaining at least one application;
plurality of predefined commands;	one application;
plurality of predefined commands;  a motion detection module operable to detect	one application; a motion detection module operable to detect
plurality of predefined commands;  a motion detection module operable to detect motion of the handheld device within three	one application;  a motion detection module operable to detect  motion of the handheld device within three
plurality of predefined commands;  a motion detection module operable to detect motion of the handheld device within three dimensions and to identify components of the	one application;  a motion detection module operable to detect motion of the handheld device within three dimensions and to identify components of the
plurality of predefined commands;  a motion detection module operable to detect motion of the handheld device within three dimensions and to identify components of the motion in relation to the viewable surface;	one application;  a motion detection module operable to detect motion of the handheld device within three dimensions and to identify components of the motion in relation to the viewable surface;
plurality of predefined commands;  a motion detection module operable to detect motion of the handheld device within three dimensions and to identify components of the motion in relation to the viewable surface;  a gesture mapping database comprising a	one application;  a motion detection module operable to detect motion of the handheld device within three dimensions and to identify components of the motion in relation to the viewable surface;  a gesture mapping database comprising a

Art Unit: 2629

the predefined commands to one of the	for the application;
gestures;	

a control module operable to load one of the applications, to select one of the command maps corresponding to the loaded application, to track movement of the handheld device using the motion detection module, to compare the tracked movement against the gestures to determine a matching gesture, to identify, using the selected command map, the predefined command mapped to the matching gesture, and to perform the identified command using the loaded application.

a control module operable to load the application, to track movement of the handheld device using the motion detection module, to compare the tracked movement against the symbol gestures to identify a matching symbol gesture, to identify, using the gesture input map, the corresponding input mapped to the matching symbol gesture, and to provide the corresponding input to the application.

As can be seen above, claim 1 of this application recites a plurality of applications each having a plurality of predefined commands while the copending application recites an application database maintaining at least one application. It would have been obvious to realize claim 1 of the copending application having a plurality of applications since this provides more than one application to be used in the device and this permits different applications to assign different actions or meanings to the commands.

Application/Control Number: 10/807,589 Page 8

Art Unit: 2629

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mosttov (WO 03/001340).

As to claims 1, 21, Mosttov discloses a motion controlled handheld device (Fig. 1) comprising:

a display having a viewable surface and operable to generate an image;

a gesture database (the gesture recognition system 15 in Fig. 2) maintaining a plurality of predefined gestures, each gesture defined by a motion of the device with respect to a first position of the device (see page 6, lines 22-28; page 7, line 29 to page 8, line 2);

a plurality of applications each having a plurality of predefined commands (28 in Fig. 2, see page 8, lines 8-16);

a gesture mapping database (24 in Fig. 2) comprising a plurality of command maps, each of the command maps corresponding to a particular one of the applications and mapping each of the predefined commands to one of the gestures (page 8, lines 17-28);

a motion detection module (sensors 12 in Fig. 2) operable to detect motion of the handheld device within three dimensions and to identify components of the motion in relation to the viewable surface (page 7, lines 16-25); and

a control module (Fig. 2) operable to load one of the applications, to select one of the command maps corresponding to the loaded application, to track movement of the handheld device using the motion detection module (12), to compare the tracked movement against the gestures to determine a matching gesture, to identify, using the selected command map, the predefined command mapped to the matching gesture, and to perform the identified command using the loaded application (see page 7, line 26 to page 8, line 34 for example).

As to claim 2, Mosttov teaches the control module is further operable to load a second one of the applications, to select a second one of the command maps corresponding to the second loaded application, and to replace the first selected command map with the second selected command map (page 8, lines 29-34; page 10, lines 13-16 for example).

As to claim 3, Mosttov teaches the matching gesture maps to a first predefined command using the first selected command map and to a second predefined command using the second selected command map (page 8, lines 24-34).

As to claims 4-6, Motsttov teaches the application has a first application state and a second application state as claimed (page 8, lines 4-23).

As to claim 7, Fig. 5 of Mosttov teaches the device comprising three accelerometers (40) for detecting acceleration along three axis, the gesture database, the motion detection module and the control module as claimed.

Claims 8-20, which are method claims corresponding to the above apparatus claims 1-7, are rejected for the same reasons as stated above since such method "steps" are clearly read on by the corresponding "means".

Application/Control Number: 10/807,589 Page 10

Art Unit: 2629

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Regina Liang whose telephone number is (571) 272-7693. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8AM to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Hjerpe, can be reached on (571) 272-7691. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Regina Liang Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2674

10/25/06